

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-2154

RODRIGUEZ SAMUEL DA MATHA DE SANTANNA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP; ERIC FRECHTEL, Attorney,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Roger W. Titus, District Judge. (8:09-cv-02438-RWT)

Submitted: March 16, 2010

Decided: March 19, 2010

Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Rodriguez Samuel Da Matha De Santanna, Appellant Pro Se. Scott Burnett Smith, BRADLEY, ARANT, ROSE & WHITE, Huntsville, Alabama, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Rodriguez Samuel Da Matha De Santanna appeals from the district court order dismissing his claims against the law firm of Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP ("Bradley Arant"). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Da Matha De Santanna v. Bradley Arant Cummings LLP, No. 8:09-cv-02438-RWT (D. Md. Sept. 30, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED